Dear Minister

We write to ask for an end to the enlistment of children by the British armed forces.

Most of the world now recognises that the recruitment of children for military purposes is detrimental to their best interests and is not appropriate in modern armed forces. Indeed, the UK is an active participant in international efforts to end the practice in other countries. In British society, the law safeguards children by proscribing choices that entail significant risks until they turn 18, the legal age of majority. It is therefore incongruous that the British armed forces still enlist personnel at age 16 and accept applications from age 15.

The Convention on the Rights of the Child obliges the UK to ensure that the best interests of children are a primary consideration in all matters affecting them. Yet in the British armed forces, minors are exempt from the full legislative protection provided to civilian workers and from the minimum standards of education participation that apply to civilians of the same age. The legal obligations applied to minors at enlistment, including a minimum service period that is longer than for adult recruits, would be unlawful in civilian life. In a society that seeks to safeguard the best interests of young people, they should not be subjected to such differential standards as these.

Premature enlistment can also bring significant risk and disadvantage. Having exited education early, around one third of underage army recruits leave during their training to face elevated risks of unemployment and mental health problems afterwards. Although minors are no longer routinely deployed, they are actively sought for frontline roles, particularly the infantry, where the risks in war are highest over the course of a military career. Since the mental health effects of childhood adversity can be aggravated in a military environment, the effects of enlisting too early can be particularly harmful for some young people. In contrast, there is no evidence to suggest that enlisting at age 16 is advantageous when compared with enlisting from age 18.

Since the Deepcut Review a decade ago, the armed forces have sought to improve welfare provision for the youngest recruits and introduced a qualified statutory right of discharge up to the age of 18. These are steps forward, but they cannot redress the many hazards that arise from enlisting too early. We recognise that many young people may wish to choose a career in the armed forces, but in view of the risks and legal obligations involved, the choice to enlist should be fully informed and only made once young people have reached the age of legal majority.

By recruiting adults only the government would save an estimated £51 million annually – equivalent to around £25,000 per recruit who now enlists as a minor. This substantial saving could instead be invested in specialist programmes providing the social, practical and academic
support needed by the most disadvantaged young people in our society to ensure their welfare and best interests are served in the short and long term.

Most states worldwide now only allow enlistment from age 18. The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child and the UK Joint Committee on Human Rights have consistently called on the UK to stop enlisting minors. Polling indicates that three-quarters of the British public would support a transition to all-adult armed forces. Today we do the same, urging you to join most of the rest of the world and raise the minimum age for enlistment to 18.
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Demelza Hauser, Chair of the Board of Trustees, Child Soldiers International
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